ಶ್ರೀ ಎಚ್. ಸಿದ್ದವೀರಪ್ಪ.—ನಿಮಗೆ ಯಾವುದೂ ದೊಡ್ಡ ಪ್ರಶ್ನೆಯಲ್ಲ.

Is it not the duty of the Govornment to use Government vehicle only for Government purposes and was Sri Nijalingappa there for any Government purpose ?

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I said that I went to Davangere and today it is very difficult for me to say in what car I was sitting and whether that car belongs to the Government or to a private man. But let me make it very clear to the Hon. Member and to this House that Sri Nijalingappa is somebody ; he is not a private individual but an hon. Member of this House and he is also the leader of our party and therefore I do not think there is anythink wrong in my going with him or in his coming with me.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—I want a specific reply whether Government car was used I am not asking for any explanation or paraphrasing.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—When I was sitting in the car, others also will sit by me.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Is it a straight answer ? I demand that a straigt answer may be given to my question.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—He cannot demand for any answer ; it is for me to give whatever answer I deem necessary. He cannot direct me to give a straight answer or zigzag answer. I said that today I don't remember in which car I was sitting and whether that car belongs to the Government or not. At this distance of time it is very difficult for me to say that.

Sri H. SIDDAVEERAPPA.—Is it not a fact that all the Ministers took only 20 minutes to perfrom five functions in which Sri Nijalingappa spoke. None of the others spoke and all the replies were given by Sri Nijalingappa stating that the Ministers need not reply.

Sri VEERENDRA PATIL.—I do not think I have participated in so many functions where Sri Nijalingappa participated. Wherever he was called as a Chief Guest, he did participate and wherever he was not called as the chief guest, he did not participate.

Inter State Seniorty of Officers of Agriculture Department

291. Sri S. R. BOMMAI (Kundgol).—

Will the Minister for Agriculture be pleased to state :—

(*a*) whether the Inter State Seniority of the Officers of the Agricultural Department is finalised and published ;

(*b*) whether the Government of India has taken final decision about the Inter State Seniority of the Officers of this Department;

(*c*) whether the Mysore Cabinet has already decided to implement the decision of the Union Government; if so, what is the reason for delay in giving effect to these seniority lists?

Sri D. B. PAWAR (Deputy Minister for Agriculture).—

(*a*) Yes, except that of Field Assistants and Fieldmen.

(*b*) Yes, except in respect of Field Assistants and Fieldmen.

(*c*) Yes, subject to any specific direction to the contrary given in any particular case by a competent Court. In regard to the final Inter State Seniority list of Agricultural Demonstrators, the Supreme Court has granted a stay whereby implementation of the final Inter State Seniority list is deferred.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—May I know whether the Supreme Court's stay order relates only to the persons who have filed the appeals to the Surpreme Court or whether it is a general stay order?

†Sri D. B. PAWAR.—Though it is not a general stay order, the subject involved in all cases is similar and so whatever applies to these three persons applies to the rest of them.

Sri S. R. BOMMAI.—Is it not the business of the Government to implement the decision of the Supreme Court and why is it that the matter is delayed for two years? Is it because it is only benefiitting the Bombay Karnatak officials and affecting the old Mysore people?

Sri D. B. PAWAR.—Since the Supreme Court is seized of the matter, it may not be advisable to discuss this matter on the floor of the House.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಎಚ್. ಪಾಟೀಲ್.—ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಅಥವಾ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಸ್ಟೇ ಆರ್ಡರ್ ಈ ಕೇಸಿನಲ್ಲಿ ಬಂದಿದೆ. ಇದೇ ಒಂದು ತತ್ವವನ್ನು ಉಳಿದ ಎಲ್ಲಾ ಕೇಸುಗಳಲ್ಲೂ ಅನುಸರಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ಅಥವಾ ಇದು ಇದೊಂದಕ್ಕೆ ಮಾತ್ರ ಅನ್ವಯವಾಗುತ್ತದೆಯೇ?

Sri D. B. PAWAR.—Since only one issue is involved in all the cases, whatever holds good in these three cases holds good in the other cases also.

Sri K. H. PATIL.—In similar cases may I know whether the Government has adopted the same procedure or whether the Government is adopting this procedure only in respect of these officials of the Agriculture Department?

Sri D. B. PAWAR.—We are not making any exception in this particular case.

Sri V. N. PATIL.—Will the Government positively issue directions to the Deputy Commissioner and subordinate officers that if in case a stay order issued, it would apply to all similar cases?

Sri D. B. PAWAR.—It is a very general question. I am referring only to a particular case here.

ಶ್ರೀ ಕೆ. ಪಿ. ನಾಡಗೌಡ.—ಅಗ್ರಿಕಲ್ಚರಲ್ ಡೆಮಾನ್ಸ್ಟ್ರೇಟರುಗಳಿಗೆ ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟ್ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕೊಡಬೇಕಾದ ಸೌಲಭ್ಯ ಕೊಡದೆ ಅವರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಕೇಸುಗಳನ್ನು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಾರೆ? ಹೈಕೋರ್ಟಿನ ಆಜ್ಞೆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಕಾರ್ಯರೂಪಕ್ಕೆ ತರದಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಮತ್ತು ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪನವರಿಗೆ ಬೇಕಾದ ಶಿವಪ್ಪ ಎಂಬುವರಿಗೆ, ಇತರರಿಗೆ, ಬೇಕಾದ ಸೌಲತ್ತನ್ನು ಕೊಟ್ಟಿರುವುದರಿಂದ ಸುಪ್ರೀಂ ಕೋರ್ಟಿಗೆ ಹೊಗಿಲ್ಲವೆ?

Sri D. B. PAWPR.—I am not aware of any instance to which the hon. Member is referring to. But since the issue involved bigger financial implications, it was necessary for the Government to go in appeal to the Supreme Court; and a stay order has been issued by the Supreme Court.

ಶ್ರಿ ಎಂ. ನಾಗಪ್ಪ.—ಈ ತತ್ವವನ್ನು ನೀವು ಪ್ರಮೋಷನ್ ಅಥವ ಅಡ್ಮಿಷನ್‌ಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ ಮತ್ತು ರಿಜ್ರೆಂಚ್ ಆಗುವವರೆಲ್ಲರಿಗೂ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸುತ್ತೀರಾ?

2-00 P. M.

Sri D. B. PAWAR.—I do not think this question has any bearing with this particular issue.

Mr. SPEAKER.—The question Hour is over.

QUESTIONS FOR ANSWERS ON THE DAY

(but not taken up)

Filling up the Posts of teachers in tumkur District

140. Sri P. ANJANAPPA (Pavagada).—

Will the Minister for Education be pleased to state :—

(*a*) the reasons for not interviewing the candidates for the posts of teachers in Tumkur District even though the applications for the said posts were received by the District Educational Officer, Tumkur about five years ago ;

(*b*) whether they are aware that the District Educational Officers of other districts are taking action every year in this behalf ;

(*c*) whether they will take action to interview the candidates through D. L. R. C. at least now ?

Sri K. V. SHANKARA GOWDA (Minister for Education).—

(*a*) Applications were called for from candidates during May 1967 for the posts of teachers. The interview of the candidates was stopped in the middle as some problems arose during interview.

(*b*) Yes.

(*c*) Yes.